AGRIALLIS
Science for Agriculture and Allied Sector: A Monthly Magazine
Volume 5, Issue 2
www.agriallis.com

Article Id
AL04198

CARBON BUDGETING IN FORAGE CROP PRODUCTION: AN OVERVIEW

[1]Subhradip Bhattacharjee*, [2]Amitava Panja, [1]Rakesh Kumar and [3]Malu Ram Yadav

Email
subhradip25@gmail.com

[1]Agronomy Section, ICAR- National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, India

[2]Dairy Extension Division, ICAR- National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, India

[3]Division of Agronomy, Rajasthan Agricultural Research Institute, SKNAU, Jobner, India

Carbon budgeting is a crucial tool for addressing one of the most pressing challenges of our time: climate change. At its most basic, carbon budgeting involves measuring and managing the carbon emissions and sequestration associated with a particular activity or system (Lahn, 2020). In the context of agriculture, carbon budgeting involves quantifying the greenhouse gases (GHGs) produced and absorbed through various farming practices and determining ways to optimize the balance between these emissions and sequestrations (Smith *et al.*, 2020).

The importance of carbon budgeting in agriculture cannot be overstated. Agriculture is a major contributor to GHG emissions, accounting for approximately 10-14% of global GHG emissions (Shakoor *et al.*, 2021). This includes emissions from enteric fermentation in livestock, manure management, synthetic fertilizer application, and energy use on farms. At the same time, agriculture also has the potential to sequester carbon through practices such as cover cropping, reduced tillage, and the use of perennial crops (Bell et al., 2020; Gonzalez-Sanchez et al., 2019; Tiefenbacher *et al.*, 2021). By implementing carbon budgeting practices, farmers and ranchers can not only reduce their own GHG emissions but also play a critical role in mitigating climate change at a global scale.

Forage crops, which include grasses and legumes used for livestock feed, are an important component of carbon budgeting in agriculture. These crops are known to sequester carbon in the soil through their root systems and can also reduce GHG emissions through their ability to replace fossil fuel-intensive feed sources. However, carbon sequestration and emissions in forage crop production and utilization can be affected by a variety of factors, including soil type and quality, fertilization and nutrient management, irrigation and water management, and grazing management (Madigan et al., 2022; Tessema et al., 2020). By understanding and optimizing these factors, it is possible to maximize the carbon sequestration potential of forage crops and reduce their carbon footprint.

Carbon Emissions in Forage Crop Production

Sources of carbon emissions in forage crop production

One of the main sources of carbon emissions in forage crop production is the use of synthetic fertilizers (Menegat *et al.*, 2022). Synthetic fertilizers are made from fossil fuels, and their production and transportation contribute to GHG emissions (Walling & Vaneeckhaute, 2020). In addition, the application of synthetic fertilizers can lead to the release of nitrous oxide, a potent GHG, through the process of nitrification (Schils *et al.*, 2013). Nitrous oxide is approximately 300 times more potent than carbon dioxide as a GHG, and agriculture is a major source of nitrous oxide emissions globally.

Another source of carbon emissions in forage crop production is the use of fossil fuels for machinery and irrigation (McCarthy *et al.*, 2020). For example, tractors and other farm equipment require fuel to operate, and irrigation pumps and other machinery may also use electricity generated from fossil fuels. The transportation of forage crops and livestock can also contribute to GHG emissions, depending on the distance and mode of transportation.

Strategies for reducing carbon emissions in forage crop production

There are several strategies that farmers and ranchers can adopt to reduce carbon emissions in forage crop production. One approach is to use precision agriculture techniques, such as GPS-guided machinery and variable rate technology, to optimize fertilizer application and reduce the number of synthetic fertilizers used (Balafoutis *et al.*, 2017). Cover cropping, which involves planting a cover crop between forage crop rotations, can also help to reduce GHG emissions by improving soil health and reducing erosion (Abdalla *et al.*, 2014). Cover crops can increase soil organic matter and enhance the soil's ability to sequester carbon.

Other strategies for reducing carbon emissions in forage crop production include using low-carbon or renewable energy sources for irrigation and farm machinery, and adopting conservation tillage practices to reduce the use of fossil fuels and the release of GHGs from the soil (Kumara *et al.*, 2020). Another option is to plant forage crops that are more efficient at sequestering carbon, such as grasses and legumes (Boddey *et al*., 2020), and to implement grazing management practices that promote the health and productivity of these crops (Dowhower *et al*., 2020; Franzluebbers, 2020; Wang *et al.*, 2020).

Finally, farmers and ranchers can also consider participating in carbon offset programs, which allow them to offset their GHG emissions by funding projects that reduce or remove GHGs from the atmosphere (Paustian *et al.*, 2019). Carbon offset programs may be voluntary or mandatory, depending on the jurisdiction, and they can provide a financial incentive for farmers and ranchers to adopt carbon-friendly practices (Kesternich *et al.*, 2019).

Carbon Sequestration in Forage Crops

The role of forage crops in sequestering carbon

Forage crops, which include grasses and legumes used for pasture and hay, can play a significant role in sequestering carbon from the atmosphere. Carbon sequestration is the process of capturing and storing atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO2) in long-term sinks, such as soil and vegetation. When forage crops photosynthesize, they absorb CO2 from the atmosphere and convert it into plant biomass, which can be stored in the form of roots, stems, and leaves. Over time, as the plant material decomposes, the carbon is released back into the atmosphere. However, if the plant material is managed properly, some of the carbon can be sequestered in the soil, where it can be stored for longer periods of time.

Factors that influence the carbon sequestration potential of forage crops

There are several factors that influence the carbon sequestration potential of forage crops. One important factor is the type of forage crop being grown. Grasses and legumes are generally more efficient at sequestering carbon than other types of forage crops, due to their deep root systems and ability to fix nitrogen from the atmosphere (He *et al.*, 2021). Legumes, in particular, are able to form symbiotic relationships with nitrogen-fixing bacteria, which allows them to convert atmospheric nitrogen into a form that can be used by the plant. This process, known as nitrogen fixation, not only helps to enrich the soil but also sequesters atmospheric carbon in the process.

In addition to the type of forage crop, management practices can also play a role in the carbon sequestration potential of forage systems. For example, grazing management practices that promote the health and productivity of forage crops can increase the amount of carbon sequestered in the soil. Practices such as rotational grazing, which involves moving livestock to different pasture areas on a regular basis, can help to prevent overgrazing and maintain the integrity of the forage plant root systems. This can enhance the carbon sequestration potential of the forage system, as well as improve the overall health and productivity of the pasture. Other management practices that can promote carbon sequestration in forage systems include reducing tillage, adding organic matter to the soil, and using cover crops.

Utilization of Forage Crops for Carbon Offset Credits

Overview of carbon offset markets

Carbon offset credits are a way for individuals and businesses to offset their GHG emissions by funding projects that reduce or remove GHGs from the atmosphere. Carbon offset programs can be voluntary or mandatory, depending on the jurisdiction, and they can provide a financial incentive for farmers and ranchers to adopt carbon-friendly practices (Van Wyngaarden, 2022). In the context of forage crop production, farmers and ranchers may be able to generate carbon offset credits by sequestering carbon in their forage systems and selling the credits on the carbon offset market.

Requirements for forage crops to qualify for carbon offset credits

To qualify for carbon offset credits, forage crop producers must be able to demonstrate that their practices are sequestering carbon in a measurable and verifiable way. This typically involves developing a carbon budget for the farm or ranch, which quantifies the number of GHGs emitted and sequestered by the operation. The carbon budget must be based on sound scientific principles and must be independently verified to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data.

There are several types of carbon offset programs that forage crop producers may be able to participate in, depending on their location and the specific requirements of the program. One example is the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM), which is a program established under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (Subbarao & Lloyd, 2011). The CDM allows developed countries to offset their GHG emissions by funding projects that reduce GHGs in developing countries. Forage crop producers in developing countries may be able to participate in the CDM by demonstrating that their practices are sequestering carbon in a measurable and verifiable way.

Another example of a carbon offset program is the Carbon Farming Initiative (CFI), which is a voluntary program established by the Australian government. The CFI allows farmers and ranchers in Australia to generate carbon offset credits by adopting carbon-friendly practices, such as planting trees, improving pasture management, and reducing GHG emissions from livestock. Forage crop producers may be able to participate in the CFI by demonstrating that their practices are sequestering carbon in a measurable and verifiable way (Kragt *et al.*, 2017).

Case studies of forage crop producers participating in carbon offset markets

There are several case studies of forage crop producers who have successfully participated in carbon offset markets and generated carbon offset credits. One example is a ranch in California, USA, which implemented a variety of carbon-friendly practices, including precision irrigation, cover cropping, and rotational grazing. By adopting these practices, the ranch was able to reduce its GHG emissions and sequester additional carbon in the soil. As a result, the ranch was able to generate over 200,000 carbon offset credits, which it sold on the carbon offset market (Niles *et al.*, 2002).

Another example is a dairy farm in New Zealand, which implemented a variety of carbon-friendly practices, including reducing its GHG emissions from livestock, improving pasture management, and planting trees. By adopting these practices, the dairy farm was able to reduce its GHG emissions and sequester additional carbon in the soil and vegetation. As a result, the dairy farm was able to generate over 100,000 carbon offset credits, which it sold on the carbon offset market (Beukes *et al.*, 2010).

There are many other examples of forage crop producers who have successfully participated in carbon offset markets and generated carbon offset credits. By adopting carbon-friendly practices and participating in carbon offset programs, forage crop producers can not only reduce their environmental footprint, but also potentially benefit from financial incentives and other benefits.

Challenges and Considerations in Carbon Budgeting For Forage Crop Production

Challenges in measuring and verifying carbon emissions and sequestration in forage crop systems

There are several challenges and considerations that farmers and ranchers should be aware of when it comes to carbon budgeting for forage crop production. One challenge is the difficulty of measuring and verifying carbon emissions and sequestration in forage systems. While there are well-established methods for measuring GHG emissions from livestock and fertilizer use, it can be more challenging to quantify the carbon sequestration potential of forage systems. This is because the carbon sequestration potential of forage systems depends on a variety of factors, including the type of forage crops being grown, the management practices being used, and the soil and climatic conditions. In addition, carbon sequestration can be affected by other GHG emissions, such as methane emissions from livestock.

Another challenge is the cost and time required to develop a carbon budget and participate in carbon offset programs. Carbon budgeting can be a complex and time-consuming process, and it requires specialized knowledge and expertise. In addition, participating in carbon offset programs may require additional resources and infrastructure, such as monitoring and reporting systems, and may incur additional costs.

Economic considerations of carbon budgeting in forage crop production

In addition to the logistical challenges of carbon budgeting, there are also economic considerations that farmers and ranchers should be aware of. For example, adopting carbon-friendly practices may involve upfront costs, such as the purchase of new equipment or the implementation of new management practices. In some cases, these costs may be offset by the financial benefits of carbon offset credits and other financial incentives, such as grants and subsidies. However, it is important for farmers and ranchers to carefully consider the economic implications of carbon budgeting, and to ensure that the benefits outweigh the costs.

Another economic consideration is the potential risk of carbon offset prices fluctuating. Carbon offset prices can vary significantly depending on supply and demand, and there is no guarantee that carbon offset credits will retain their value over time. This can be a concern for farmers and ranchers who are relying on carbon offset credits as a source of income. It is important for farmers and ranchers to carefully evaluate the risks and rewards of participating in carbon offset programs, and to consider the long-term economic viability of their operations.

Conclusion and Future Outlook

In conclusion, carbon budgeting is an important tool for mitigating the environmental impacts of agriculture and promoting more sustainable farming practices. By quantifying and managing the carbon emissions and sequestration associated with their operations, farmers and ranchers can reduce their environmental footprint and potentially benefit from carbon offset credits and other financial incentives. However, there are challenges and considerations that farmers and ranchers should be aware of when it comes to carbon budgeting for forage crop production, including the difficulty of measuring and verifying carbon emissions and sequestration, the cost and time required to participate in carbon offset programs, and the potential economic risks and rewards.

As concerns about climate change continue to grow, there is likely to be increasing demand for carbon offset credits and other mechanisms for reducing GHG emissions. This presents an opportunity for farmers and ranchers to adopt carbon-friendly practices and generate carbon offset credits by sequestering carbon in their forage systems. However, it is important for farmers and ranchers to carefully evaluate the feasibility and sustainability of participating in carbon offset programs, and to consider the long-term economic viability of their operations.

There is also likely to be ongoing research and development in the area of carbon budgeting for forage crop production, with a focus on developing more accurate and reliable methods for measuring and verifying carbon emissions and sequestration. This could help to improve the accuracy of carbon budgets and increase the credibility of carbon offset programs. In addition, there may be additional policy and regulatory developments related to carbon budgeting in the agriculture sector, as governments and other stakeholders seek to address the environmental impacts of agriculture and promote more sustainable farming practices.

References

Abdalla, M., Hastings, A., Helmy, M., Prescher, A., Osborne, B., Lanigan, G., Forristal, D., Killi, D., Maratha, P., & Williams, M. (2014). Assessing the combined use of reduced tillage and cover crops for mitigating greenhouse gas emissions from arable ecosystem. *Geoderma*, 223, 9–20.

Balafoutis, A., Beck, B., Fountas, S., Vangeyte, J., Van der Wal, T., Soto, I., Gómez-Barbero, M., Barnes, A., & Eory, V. (2017). Precision agriculture technologies positively contributing to GHG emissions mitigation, farm productivity and economics. *Sustainability*, 9(8), 1339.

Bell, S. M., Barriocanal, C., Terrer, C., & Rosell-Melé, A. (2020). Management opportunities for soil carbon sequestration following agricultural land abandonment. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 108, 104–111.

Beukes, P. C., Gregorini, P., Romera, A. J., Levy, G., & Waghorn, G. C. (2010). Improving production efficiency as a strategy to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions on pastoral dairy farms in New Zealand. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 136(3–4), 358–365.

Boddey, R. M., Casagrande, D. R., Homem, B. G., & Alves, B. J. (2020). Forage legumes in grass pastures in tropical Brazil and likely impacts on greenhouse gas emissions: A review. *Grass and Forage Science*, 75(4), 357–371.

Dowhower, S. L., Teague, W. R., Casey, K. D., & Daniel, R. (2020). Soil greenhouse gas emissions as impacted by soil moisture and temperature under continuous and holistic planned grazing in native tallgrass prairie. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 287, 106647.

Franzluebbers, A. J. (2020). Cattle grazing effects on the environment: Greenhouse gas emissions and carbon footprint. In Management Strategies for sustainable cattle production in southern pastures (pp. 11–34). Elsevier.

Gonzalez-Sanchez, E. J., Veroz-Gonzalez, O., Conway, G., Moreno-Garcia, M., Kassam, A., Mkomwa, S., Ordoñez-Fernandez, R., Triviño-Tarradas, P., & Carbonell-Bojollo, R. (2019). Meta-analysis on carbon sequestration through Conservation Agriculture in Africa. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 190, 22–30.

He, W., Grant, B. B., Jing, Q., Lemke, R., Luce, M. S., Jiang, R., Qian, B., Campbell, C. A., VanderZaag, A., & Zou, G. (2021). Measuring and modeling soil carbon sequestration under diverse cropping systems in the semiarid prairies of western Canada. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 328, 129614.

Kesternich, M., Römer, D., & Flues, F. (2019). The power of active choice: Field experimental evidence on repeated contribution decisions to a carbon offsetting program. *European Economic Review*, 114, 76–91.

Kragt, M. E., Dumbrell, N. P., & Blackmore, L. (2017). Motivations and barriers for Western Australian broad-acre farmers to adopt carbon farming. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 73, 115–123.

Kumara, T. K., Kandpal, A., & Pal, S. (2020). A meta-analysis of economic and environmental benefits of conservation agriculture in South Asia. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 269, 110773.

Lahn, B. (2020). A history of the global carbon budget. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: *Climate Change*, 11(3), e636.

Madigan, A. P., Zimmermann, J., Krol, D. J., Williams, M., & Jones, M. B. (2022). Full Inversion Tillage (FIT) during pasture renewal as a potential management strategy for enhanced carbon sequestration and storage in Irish grassland soils. *Science of the Total Environment*, 805, 150342.

McCarthy, B., Anex, R., Wang, Y., Kendall, A. D., Anctil, A., Haacker, E. M., & Hyndman, D. W. (2020). Trends in water use, energy consumption, and carbon emissions from irrigation: Role of shifting technologies and energy sources. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 54(23), 15329–15337.

Menegat, S., Ledo, A., & Tirado, R. (2022). Greenhouse gas emissions from global production and use of nitrogen synthetic fertilizers in agriculture. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 1–13.

Niles, J. O., Brown, S., Pretty, J., Ball, A. S., & Fay, J. (2002). Potential carbon mitigation and income in developing countries from changes in use and management of agricultural and forest lands. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 360(1797), 1621–1639.

Paustian, K., Collier, S., Baldock, J., Burgess, R., Creque, J., DeLonge, M., Dungait, J., Ellert, B., Frank, S., & Goddard, T. (2019). Quantifying carbon for agricultural soil management: From the current status toward a global soil information system. *Carbon Management*, 10(6), 567–587.

Schils, R. L. M., Eriksen, J., Ledgard, S. F., Vellinga, T. V., Kuikman, P. J., Luo, J., Petersen, S. O., & Velthof, G. L. (2013). Strategies to mitigate nitrous oxide emissions from herbivore production systems. *Animal*, 7(s1), 29–40.

Shakoor, A., Shakoor, S., Rehman, A., Ashraf, F., Abdullah, M., Shahzad, S. M., Farooq, T. H., Ashraf, M., Manzoor, M. A., & Altaf, M. M. (2021). Effect of animal manure, crop type, climate zone, and soil attributes on greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural soils—A global meta-analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 278, 124019.

Smith, P., Soussana, J.-F., Angers, D., Schipper, L., Chenu, C., Rasse, D. P., Batjes, N. H., Van Egmond, F., McNeill, S., & Kuhnert, M. (2020). How to measure, report and verify soil carbon change to realize the potential of soil carbon sequestration for atmospheric greenhouse gas removal. *Global Change Biology*, 26(1), 219–241.

Subbarao, S., & Lloyd, B. (2011). Can the clean development mechanism (CDM) deliver? Energy Policy, 39(3), 1600–1611.

Tessema, B., Sommer, R., Piikki, K., Söderström, M., Namirembe, S., Notenbaert, A., Tamene, L., Nyawira, S., & Paul, B. (2020). Potential for soil organic carbon sequestration in grasslands in East African countries: A review. *Grassland Science*, 66(3), 135–144.

Tiefenbacher, A., Sandén, T., Haslmayr, H.-P., Miloczki, J., Wenzel, W., & Spiegel, H. (2021). Optimizing carbon sequestration in croplands: A synthesis. *Agronomy*, 11(5), 882.

Van Wyngaarden, S. (2022). Carbon credit systems in Alberta agriculture. SPP Technical Paper, 15, 18.

Walling, E., & Vaneeckhaute, C. (2020). Greenhouse gas emissions from inorganic and organic fertilizer production and use: A review of emission factors and their variability. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 276, 111211.

Wang, J., Li, Y., Bork, E. W., Richter, G. M., Eum, H.-I., Chen, C., Shah, S. H. H., & Mezbahuddin, S. (2020). Modelling spatio-temporal patterns of soil carbon and greenhouse gas emissions in grazing lands: Current status and prospects. *Science of The Total Environment*, 739, 139092.